



TEXAS
Health and Human Services

Texas Department of State
Health Services

The Cancer Alliance of Texas (CAT) Member and Partner Satisfaction Survey Report

Prepared by Marilee Ponangi, MA
Program Evaluator
Office of Surveillance, Evaluation and Research
Health Promotion and Chronic Disease Prevention Section

Reviewed by Nimisha Bhakta, MPH
Manager
Office of Surveillance, Evaluation and Research
Health Promotion and Chronic Disease Prevention Section

Table of Contents

Purpose	2
Methods	2
Results	2
Leadership	5
Value	6
CAT Quarterly Meetings.....	7
Support from Texas Comprehensive Cancer Control Program (TCCCP) at DSHS .	9
Communication	9
Priority Area Workgroups	11
Conclusion and Recommendations	13
Appendix A: Open-ended Responses	15
Appendix B: Survey Questions	19

Purpose

The Cancer Alliance of Texas (CAT) Member and Partner Satisfaction Survey is conducted yearly to assess CAT participants' opinions about CAT and offer insight to improve the way CAT functions.

Methods

The survey was built in SurveyMonkey and consisted of 29 questions that all respondents were asked. The majority of the questions were Likert scale questions but also included open responses and multiple choice questions. Topics included leadership, value, CAT Quarterly Meetings, support from the Texas Comprehensive Cancer Control Program (TCCCP) at DSHS, communication, and Priority Area Workgroups. Respondents that indicated participation in a Priority Area Workgroup were asked 5 additional questions pertaining to their experience in the workgroups for a total of 34 questions. Atlas.ti™ version 7.5 was used to help organize written responses for analysis.


The survey was sent to 163 unique email addresses from the CAT participant distribution list via a link in an email on March 14, 2017. A survey reminder was included in the CAT biweekly newsletter sent on March 21, 2017. A second reminder was emailed to the CAT distribution list the morning of March 31, 2017 stating that the survey closed that night. The survey closed on March 31, 2017 at 11:45 pm. The survey was started by 36 people, 35 of which completed the survey. The incomplete response was removed from the data set since only the demographic questions were answered. About 21 percent of the total email recipients responded to the survey. On average, each CAT Quarterly Meeting has about 34 people attend so 35 completed responses is considered a strong response rate. Not everyone who regularly attends CAT Quarterly Meetings participated in this survey. Respondents also included people who have not recently attended CAT Quarterly meetings.

Results

A summary of the survey results is provided below. A complete list of open-ended responses and survey questions can be found in Appendix A and B.


In the first section of the survey, respondents were asked about the organization they belong to, their time as a CAT participant, meeting attendance, and barriers to attending meetings.

Figure 1



As shown in Figure 1, survey respondents came from a variety of organizations including cancer centers, Department of State Health Services or other state agencies, local health departments, non-profit agencies, university/medical schools, the US Environmental Protection Agency, and no agency affiliation. Non-profits were best represented, followed by university/medical schools, then cancer centers.

Figure 2



Respondents' participation length in CAT ranged from less than one year to more than 6 years, as displayed in Figure 2. The various organizational backgrounds along with duration in participation allowed for diversity among the respondents.

Figure 3




Figure 4




Figure 3 and 4 show the attendance at CAT Quarterly Meetings by survey respondents. Twenty-seven respondents (77%) attended at least one meeting in the last 12 months and five of those respondents (14%) attended

one or more CAT meetings remotely. Six respondents (17%) attended all four of the meetings over the previous year in person or remotely.

Figure 5

Barriers to Attending CAT Quarterly Meetings



*Respondents provided write-in response

- *Distance far and don't attend the meeting*
- *Colleague attended on my behalf*

As shown in Figure 5, when asked what prevented them from attending one or more CAT Quarterly Meetings, over half of respondents cited schedule conflicts. The second most common reason was "I was not participating in CAT at the time of the meeting(s)". Lack of funding for travel was the third most common reason for absence. In addition to the CAT Quarterly Meetings, a majority of respondents (63%) attended at least one other meeting or activity associated with CAT such as workgroup meetings.

Leadership

In this section of the survey, respondents were asked about their confidence and satisfaction of CAT's leadership.

Table 1

Question	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	N/A
Confident in CAT leaders' ability to effectively lead the coalition	0%	14%	83%	3%
CAT leaders are receptive to suggestions	3%	11%	80%	6%
Satisfied with the leadership structure of CAT	3%	11%	83%	3%

Overall, a large majority of respondents were happy with CAT leadership. Responses indicate that people felt confident in CAT leaders' ability to effectively lead the coalition, were satisfied with the leadership structure of CAT, and felt CAT leaders were receptive to suggestions from coalition participants.

"Need to ask more of our members in terms of action items & follow up."

Comments from survey participants indicated that they would like to see leadership ask more of the members in terms of action items and accountability. One member also expressed that leadership needs to include representation of the African American community.

Value

The next section of the survey focused on the value of CAT including its work and accomplishments as well as value of involvement for participants.

Table 2

Question	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	N/A
The work CAT does is valuable	9%	3%	89%	0%
Several tasks to reduce cancer burden in Texas would not be accomplished without CAT	14%	29%	58%	0%

CAT participants seem motivated to accomplish goals	17%	20%	63%	0%
CAT has helped my professional development	11%	31%	51%	6%

As shown in Table 2, opinions related to the value of CAT varied a bit. A large majority of respondents felt that the work CAT does is valuable. Over half of the respondents felt that several tasks to reduce the burden in Texas would not be accomplished without CAT and that CAT participants seemed motivated to accomplish goals. While the numbers in Table 2 indicate that a little over half of the respondents recognized the accomplishments of CAT and motivation of its members, some respondents felt neutral or disagree that participants are motivated to accomplish goals and that several tasks to reduce the cancer burden in Texas would not be accomplished without CAT. One respondent suggested the creation of a group to monitor and report on progress towards the Texas Cancer Plan. Such a group may help CAT participants more easily recognize accomplishments.

“Participation in CAT has helped identify a number of high-impact cancer-related areas that my organization is now focused on. CAT has helped my organization build valuable connections that have led to the implementation of new programs & processes, which have positively impacted patient care.”

Many respondents expressed how being part of CAT has helped them network and connect to helpful resources and individuals. This in turn has helped some of their organizations. Despite many positive comments, just over half of the respondents felt that CAT has helped their professional development, while 11% felt like it hasn't helped.

CAT Quarterly Meetings

Respondents were asked questions specifically regarding CAT Quarterly Meetings in this section and if they had suggestions for improvements.

Table 3

Question	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	N/A
CAT Quarterly Meetings are productive	6%	20%	63%	11%
Like the new shortened schedule format used for the February CAT Quarterly Meeting	3%	20%	54% (65%)*	23%
Presentations at CAT Quarterly Meetings are beneficial	3%	14%	69%	14%

As seen in Table 3, CAT meetings were seen as productive by about 63% of survey respondents and close to 69% of respondents felt presentations at CAT Quarterly Meetings are beneficial.

Based on previously received feedback, the schedule for the February CAT Quarterly Meeting was modified to reduce the overall length. Over half of the total respondents (54%) liked the new shortened schedule used for the February quarterly meeting.

*However, when only considering responses from people who attended the February meeting, the proportion of those who liked the new format increased to about 65%.

"I really liked the approach used at the Feb 2017 meeting; seems more structured, more respectful of attendee's time and likely will lead to more beneficial outcomes and discussion."

As described in the value section, a major benefit to participating in CAT is networking. The importance of networking was also reflected in this section with many respondent comments emphasizing the importance of having time during meetings for member activities and building connections that will help facilitate collaborations. Some members suggested fewer presentations to help provide the time for networking.

Additional comments regarding CAT Quarterly meetings included requests to add a webinar option that would allow those without travel funds to more easily participate in meetings. It was also suggested that an update from the DSHS Comprehensive Cancer Program be made a standing agenda item.

Support from Texas Comprehensive Cancer Control Program (TCCCP) At DSHS

In this section, a few questions were asked about how the representatives from the TCCCP at DSHS were doing in their roles with CAT.

Table 4

Question	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	N/A
Current TCCCP representatives effectively coordinate CAT	0%	23%	74%	3%
Roles and responsibilities of TCCCP representatives regarding CAT are clear	11%	31%	54%	3%
There is adequate communication between TCCCP representatives and CAT participants	3%	26%	66%	6%

Responses to questions in this section, see Table 4, indicate that a majority of CAT members felt the current TCCCP representatives effectively coordinate CAT but that there might be a little vagueness about their roles. About two-third participants (66%) agreed that there is adequate communication between TCCCP representatives and CAT participants.

The CATalog is an appreciated form of communication. Although a couple

“Lots of info, almost too much at times, but it's a great resource. Keep it coming.”

respondents felt the CATalog included a little too much information and was sent too frequently, most respondents offered praise toward its noted improvement, easy readability, and great resources. Survey respondents noted a few suggestions for improvement of the CATalog including adding a member accomplishments section and including the CATalog on the CAT website so it is easy to find

current and past issues.

Communication

Participants were then asked questions related to adequacy of communication and clarity of roles and processes in CAT.

Table 5

Question	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	N/A
There is adequate communication between CAT leadership and participants	9%	23%	69%	0%
The CAT decision making process is clear	17%	26%	54%	3%
The roles of CAT participants are clear	29%	17%	54%	0%

Table 5 shows communication in general for CAT has some room for improvement. While 69% of respondents agreed there was adequate communication between CAT leadership and participants, just over half felt the decision making process and the roles of CAT participants were clear. The gap in communication extended to workgroups also with a number of respondents commenting that they lacked information about workgroups and didn't know how to get involved.

"Strength & breadth of the Alliance should have a more active online presence. Perhaps consider holding an annual event (gala, plenary session, etc.) to raise profile of CAT and further broadcast the outstanding work of the Alliance."

Figure 6




Figure 6 shows the inconclusive responses received when participants were asked if they would like to use a social media group, such as LinkedIn, to communicate with CAT participants. The level of agreement was just about half (49%) of the respondents, with some respondents commenting that CAT needs to improve its visibility and online presence. The subject of social media groups, online presence, and visibility should be discussed further with CAT members since no solid conclusion can be drawn from these results and strong opinions were expressed both for and against the use of a social media group.

“Suggestion for CAT: More active social media presence. Create a LinkedIn group, or FB group, or have Twitter presence. Your voice needs to reach people where they are.”

asked if they would like to use a social media group, such as LinkedIn, to communicate with CAT participants. The level of agreement was just about half (49%) of the respondents, with some respondents commenting that CAT needs to improve its visibility and online presence. The subject of social media groups, online presence, and visibility should be discussed further with CAT members since no solid conclusion can be

Priority Area Workgroups


Details about the questions and responses pertaining to Priority Area Workgroups can be found beginning on page 18.

Table 6

Question	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	N/A
I see value in CAT having Priority Area Workgroups	3%	9%	83%	6%
The roles and purpose of the Priority Area Workgroups are clear	17%	23%	54%	6%

Response rates shown in Table 6 indicate that a majority of respondents see the value in having Priority Area Workgroups. However, the roles and purpose of Priority Area Workgroups are not well understood by all CAT participants.

Figure 7



When asked if they participated in a Priority Area Workgroup (see Figure 7), 60% of respondents (21 people) responded they participated in at least one Priority Area Workgroup and 17% participated in more than one workgroup. The respondents that participated in at least one Priority Area Workgroup were asked additional questions about their workgroup experience. Among these respondents, all four Priority Area Workgroups were represented.

Table 7

Question	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	N/A
Chairperson of my workgroup effectively guides the group	14%	29%	52%	5%
I leave workgroup meetings knowing my assigned tasks and deadlines	24%	24%	43%	10%
The vast majority of CAT workgroup participants follow through with assignments and meet deadlines	24%	19%	48%	10%
I feel the workgroup I participate in would benefit from a monthly group conference call	5%	33%	57%	5%
I feel that my thoughts and opinions are valued in my workgroup	0%	14%	81%	5%

Some respondents felt that the Priority Area Workgroups offered a good networking opportunity and focused on important areas but there were also some problems identified. Table 7 shows that of the respondents that participated in workgroups, about half felt the chairperson of their workgroup effectively guides the group. Numerous comments indicate some respondents felt that a lack of focus and specific goals, shifting priorities, and lack of action plan led the groups to be ineffective. A little less than half, 48%, of respondents felt a vast majority of CAT workgroup participants follow through with assignments and meet deadlines. Only 43% of respondents felt they leave workgroup meetings knowing their assigned tasks and deadlines.

“Decision-making process needs to become more transparent. Priorities seem to change at each meeting, which makes it difficult to commit to a plan of action.”

“I would suggest focusing on goals that are specific. At the end of each workgroup meeting we should have action steps for each member, a timeline goal and monthly f/u calls.”

Many of the respondents felt greater accountability along with specific and reasonable action items based on participants’ time were needed in their workgroups. When asked if they felt the workgroup they participate in would benefit from a monthly group conference call, 57% felt it would be helpful.

A vast majority, 81%, of the respondents that participated in Priority Area Workgroups felt that their thoughts and opinions are valued in the workgroup. One respondent felt workgroups needed to focus more on diversity, addressing

disparities, and serving communities with the greatest need. One issue that was mentioned by multiple respondents was lack of time. There was a feeling that there wasn’t enough time during meetings to make significant progress in workgroups. One respondent proposed webinars for workgroups, which may help free up travel time. Personal time was also a factor with suggestions to do more collaborative work with other agencies and workgroups to increase impact.

Conclusion and Recommendations

Overall, a majority of respondents were happy with the structure of CAT and felt CAT leadership and TCCCP representatives were doing a good job. CAT Quarterly Meetings were seen as productive with beneficial presentations. The shortened meeting schedule used for the February CAT Quarterly

meeting was favored by many respondents. Most respondents felt the Priority Area Workgroups and the work done by CAT is valuable. They also felt their own thoughts and opinions are valued in CAT. Communication between CAT leadership/TCCCP representatives and participants was seen as adequate with the CATalog being very well liked. However, some participants need some additional clarification about everyone's roles, responsibilities, workgroups, and CAT processes. Participants also want to see greater accountability and accomplishments from members and workgroups.

Since some respondents expressed a level of confusion across all aspects of CAT, it is recommended that efforts be made to clarify the decision making processes in CAT and the Priority Area Workgroups. The roles and responsibilities of TCCCP representatives, workgroup members, and CAT participant also need to be clarified and clear action items be provided at the meetings. Adding a workgroup to monitor and report on progress toward the Texas Cancer Plan may help guide Priority Area Workgroup activities as well as increase participant awareness of CAT efforts and accomplishments. The importance of increasing the visibility of CAT and its accomplishments was identified by some respondents. Monitoring progress on the Texas Cancer Plan may help with this, along with exploring online and publicity opportunities.

Schedule conflicts and lack of travel funding were the predominant obstacles to attending CAT Quarterly Meetings, therefore adding the option of webinars or another form of live broadcasting may help, also if they are recorded and made available for CAT participants to watch at their convenience. It is suggested that TCCCP and CAT leadership further discuss the option of increased online presence for CAT with coalition participants since there was not a clear consensus from this survey but strong interest in the topic was expressed. If CAT's online presence is increased, this may also help participants engage and connect with each other outside of the scheduled meeting times. This may be especially helpful to those that are unable to regularly attend the meetings in person. Time for CAT participant activities and networking during CAT Quarterly Meetings was very important to respondents and efforts to facilitate these opportunities is strongly recommended.

Appendix A: Open-ended Responses

What do you like or dislike about CAT Priority Area Workgroups? Do you have any suggestions for improvement?

Respondent comments:

- *Get a new legislature that will actually pass laws to implement our ideas.*
- *Webinars work best as well.*
- *A standing group should focus on monitoring progress towards the state's cancer plan and report via press releases with reference to our CAT website*
- *None*
- *Decision-making process needs to become more transparent. Priorities seem to change at each meeting, which makes it difficult to commit to a plan of action.*
- *I would like more information about research workgroups*
- *I do not get information regarding the workgroups.*
- *I think it is highly beneficial to have areas of focus to create and accomplish objectives. Individuals are very busy so being active while also participating in other coalitions are difficult. If we can find a way to merge such opportunities and other coalitions with our work groups we would be able to accomplish much more.*
- *Inconsistent member attendance has made this workgroup ineffective. Suggest getting the TACCT Education Workgroup and the CRC Workgroup together to synergize.*
- *Each individual needs to take on only what they have time to do- and these tasks should be small but very valuable towards to end game.*
- *There is rarely enough time during meetings to address issues or do significant planning*
- *Important topics.*
- *They are well-focused on a few priority areas. Need to ensure more active requests for action & follow up are being made of participants.*
- *They seem a bit unfocused - might be beneficial to have an uninvolved facilitator of each session so they can lead the group around versus getting lost in the details.*
- *I haven't had the chance to participate, don't know how to get involved.*
- *Seems like networking is the only purpose. Willingness to disseminate others work, but no actual work-product as a group.*

- *More emphasis on diversity, addressing disparities, reaching underserved groups, including rural communities, communities with few cancer resources, and communities at higher risk for cancer*
- *I would suggest focusing on goals that are specific. At the end of each workgroup meeting we should have action steps for each member, a timeline goal and monthly f/u calls.*

Do you have any comments or suggestions to improve the CAT Quarterly Meetings?

Respondent comments:

- *This last meeting was too short and time compressed.*
- *Consider webinars so those of us w/o travel funds can participate.*
- *Your first question should allow for multiple items*
- *None*
- *CAT leadership needs to include at least one or two African Americans. African Americans bear the highest burden of cancer nationally and in Texas.*
- *Need fewer presentations in new format so there is more time for member activities at meetings.*
- *I am very new to this group. I'm an assistant professor in Social Work at UTA with both clinical and research background in psychosocial oncology. It has been challenging to locate collaborators for grant/research since we are not affiliated with a community cancer center or NCCN center or medical school. I am hoping to broaden collaborative efforts through this organization.*
- *More small group participation or interaction, besides priority focus groups.*
- *Need to ask more of our members in terms of action items & follow up. DSHS Comp Cancer update should be a standing agenda item. Strength & breadth of the Alliance should have a more active online presence. Perhaps consider holding an annual event (gala, plenary session, etc.) to raise profile of CAT and further broadcast the outstanding work of the Alliance.*
- *I really liked the approach used at the Feb 2017 meeting; seems more structured, more respectful of attendee's time and likely will lead to more beneficial outcomes and discussion.*
- *Use meetings as a platform to support collaboration among the partners*

What do you like or dislike about the CATalog Newsletter? Do you have any suggestions for improvement?

Respondent comments:

- *I do like the CATalog*
- *Love it!*
- *Improvement noted*
- *None*
- *Good resource*
- *None at this time*
- *Would it be possible to put another category at the top of the CAT website labeled CATalog, where each newsletter can be cataloged. My email gets congested quickly and I sometimes overlook the newsletter. Being able to go to the website to find each dated newsletter would allow me to review the last and current one quickly, rather than searching my inbox.*
- *CATalog Newsletter is great, thanks!*
- *Instead of weekly perhaps every 2 weeks or monthly. every week is too much.*
- *I like the relevant information that is shared*
- *Lots of info, almost too much at times, but it's a great resource. Keep it coming.*
- *Like it.*
- *Easy to read format, always informative and relevant information... I like it!*
- *Good resources are included*
- *I love the CAT newsletter! I specifically like the resources made available along with the training and educational opportunities.*

Please share any success stories about how you or your organization have benefitted from participating in CAT. Your responses may be shared in the CATalog! (Please specify if you do not want your response shared in the CATalog.)

Respondent comments:

- *None*
- *Networking helped me identify resources or individuals to contact about my projects.*
- *Too new to have involvement*
- *Connecting to others with shared interests!*

- *Great idea! I don't have anything specific to share at this time. Maybe add a "shout out" section where we could highlight member accomplishments (such as Dr Davor Vugrin's article published in TxMED about why he became an oncologist.*
- *Establishing collaborations that would not have occurred otherwise*
- *Participation in CAT has helped identify a number of high-impact cancer-related areas that my organization is now focused on. CAT has helped my organization build valuable connections that have led to the implementation of new programs & processes, which have positively impacted patient care.*
- *N/A*
- *Networking with other members is very helpful especially in collaborating across organizations and knowing who to contact.*
- *Suggestion for CAT: More active social media presence. Create a LinkedIn group, or FB group, or have Twitter presence. Your voice needs to reach people where they are.*
- *We are consistently able to network with organizations and individuals that play an important role in project and work plan development for cancer prevention.*

Appendix B: Survey Questions

The Cancer Alliance of Texas (CAT) 2017 Member & Partner Survey

CAT exists to promote, enhance and expand all public and private partners' efforts to implement the Texas Cancer plan 2012: A Statewide Call to Action for Cancer Research, Prevention, and Control.

We need your help to improve the way CAT functions. The following survey should take approximately 10 minutes to complete and will provide valuable insights to help inform future changes. Your participation is voluntary and anonymous.

Thank you for your commitment to reduce the burden of cancer in Texas and for your willingness to provide feedback about CAT!

Click NEXT to begin.

The Cancer Alliance of Texas (CAT) 2017 Member & Partner Survey

Which of the following best describes your organization? (please select only one answer)

- Department of State Health Services (DSHS)
- Other state agency
- Cancer Center
- Non-profit
- University/medical school
- Business/industry
- I do not belong to an organization
- Other (please specify)

How long have you been a member, partner, or regular guest of CAT?

- Less than 1 year
- 1-3 years
- 4-6 years
- More than 6 years

Please indicate if you attended the recent Cat Quarterly Meetings.

	Attended in person	Attended remotely via phone	Did not attend
February 2017	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
November 2016	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
August 2016	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
May 2016	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

What prevented you from attending one or more CAT Quarterly Meetings?
(select all that apply)

- N/A- I attended all CAT Quarterly Meetings in the last 12 months
- I was not participating in CAT at the time of the meeting(s)
- I did not know about the meeting(s) or I forgot about the meeting(s)
- Location of meeting was inconvenient
- Lack of funding for travel
- Schedule conflicts
- Technical difficulties with remote participation
- Participating remotely via the phone is not valuable
- Lack of interest in presentations at meetings
- Meetings are too long
- Meetings are not productive
- Other (please specify)

Aside from CAT Quarterly Meetings, how many other CAT meetings or activities, such as workgroups, did you participate in by phone, web, or in person in the past 12 months?

- None
- 1-2
- 3-5
- 6+

The Cancer Alliance of Texas (CAT) 2017 Member & Partner Survey

For each statement, please indicate your degree of agreement/disagreement.

Leadership

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
I am confident in CAT leaders' ability to effectively lead the coalition	<input type="radio"/>					
CAT leaders are not receptive to suggestions from coalition participants	<input type="radio"/>					
I am satisfied with the leadership structure of CAT	<input type="radio"/>					

Value

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
The work CAT does is valuable	<input type="radio"/>					
Several tasks to reduce the cancer burden in Texas would not be accomplished without CAT	<input type="radio"/>					
CAT participants seem un motivated to accomplish goals	<input type="radio"/>					
My involvement with CAT has helped my professional development	<input type="radio"/>					

CAT Quarterly Meetings

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A- I did not attend CAT Quarterly Meeting(s)
To me, CAT Quarterly Meetings are <u>un</u> productive	<input type="radio"/>					
I like the new shortened schedule format used for the most recent (February 23rd, 2017) CAT Quarterly Meeting	<input type="radio"/>					
Presentations at CAT Quarterly Meetings are <u>not</u> beneficial	<input type="radio"/>					

Do you have any comments or suggestions to improve the CAT Quarterly Meetings?

The Cancer Alliance of Texas (CAT) 2017 Member & Partner Survey

For each statement, please indicate your degree of agreement/disagreement.

Support from Texas Comprehensive Cancer Control Program (TCCCP) at DSHS

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
Current TCCCP representatives effectively coordinate CAT	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
The roles and responsibilities of TCCCP representatives regarding CAT are clear	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
There is adequate communication between TCCCP representatives and CAT participants	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Communication

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
There is <u>in</u> adequate communication between CAT leadership and participants	<input type="radio"/>					
The CAT decision making process is confusing	<input type="radio"/>					
I would like to use a social media group (such as LinkedIn) to communicate with CAT participants	<input type="radio"/>					
The roles of CAT participants are <u>un</u> clear	<input type="radio"/>					

Priority Area Workgroups

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
I see value in CAT having Priority Area Workgroups	<input type="radio"/>					
The roles and purpose of the Priority Area Workgroups are <u>un</u> clear	<input type="radio"/>					

Have you participated in any of the following Priority Area Workgroups?
(select all that apply)

- HPV
- Colorectal Cancer Screening
- Tobacco
- Survivorship
- I have not participated in any of these workgroups

The Cancer Alliance of Texas (CAT) 2017 Member & Partner Survey

For each statement, please indicate degree of agreement/disagreement.

If you participated in multiple Priority Area Workgroups, please base your responses to the following statements on your experience with one workgroup. You will have a chance to share your thoughts on the next page, if you would like to comment on any additional workgroups.

Priority Area Workgroups *(continued)*

	Strongly Disagree	Disagree	Neutral	Agree	Strongly Agree	N/A
The chairperson of my workgroup effectively guides the group	<input type="radio"/>					
I leave workgroup meetings not knowing my assigned tasks and deadlines	<input type="radio"/>					
The vast majority of CAT workgroup participants follow through with assignments and meet deadlines	<input type="radio"/>					
I feel the workgroup I participate in would benefit from a monthly group conference call	<input type="radio"/>					
I feel that my thoughts and opinions are valued in my workgroup	<input type="radio"/>					

The Cancer Alliance of Texas (CAT) 2017 Member & Partner Survey

Please respond to each question in the text boxes provided.

What do you like or dislike about CAT Priority Area Workgroups? Do you have any suggestions for improvement?

What do you like or dislike about the CATalog Newsletter? Do you have any suggestions for improvement?

Please share any success stories about how you or your organization have benefitted from participating in CAT. Your responses may be shared in the CATalog! (Please specify if you do not want your response shared in the CATalog.)